

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,209	09/17/2003	Peter B. Evans	23990-08225	8289
758 7590 93/17/2009 FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER			EXAMINER	
			LO, SUZANNE	
801 CALIFOR MOUNTAIN	NIA STREET VIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2000	PAPER MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 10/666,209 Filing Date: September 17, 2003 Appellant(s): EVANS ET AL.

> Brian G. Brannon For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed December 5, 2008 appealing from the Office action mailed May 21, 2008.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

Optimal Technologies, "Operations Review of June 14, 2000 PG&E Bay Area System Events Using Aempfast Software" (Oct 3, 2001), 32 pgs.

Market Wire, "First-Of-Its-Kind Power Project Fires Up In Silicon Valley", October 2002, 2 pgs.

Business Wire, "Innovative Technologies Can Improve National Security; Optimal Technologies

Software Able to Make Nation's Power Grid More Secure" (Dec 17, 2001), 3 pgs.

 $Teresko, John. \ "Tackling the Energy Crisis", Industry Week, (Jul 16, 2001), 1 \ pg.$

Application/Control Number: 10/666,209 Art Unit: 2128

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claim 1-14 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Optimal Technologies ("Operations Review of June 14, 2000 PG&E Bay Area System Events Using Aempfast Software").

As per claim 1, Optimal is directed to a method for simulating an electric power network having a plurality of transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements and a plurality of distributionlevel buses and connected electrical elements, the method comprising: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission-level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution-level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical element (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and simulating an operation of the electric power network with the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and outputting data describing the simulated electric power network (page 21, Section 8).

As per claim 2, Optimal is directed to a method for analyzing an electric power network having a plurality of transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements and a plurality of distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the method comprising: determining a model of the

Art Unit: 2128

transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission-level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution-level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); simulating an operation of the electric power network with the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); assessing under load flow analysis at least one of a condition and performance of the simulated electric power network (page 13, Section 3, 1st paragraph) and outputting data describing at least one of the condition and the performance of the simulated electric power network (page 21, Section 8).

As per claim 3, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical distribution-level real and reactive energy sources connected to one or more of the plurality of distribution-level buses into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 1st paragraph); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electric power network of the theoretical distribution-level real and reactive energy sources connected on one or more of the plurality of distribution-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 2nd paragraph).

As per claim 4, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical alternative topologies of the distribution-level portion of the electrical power network into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and observing impacts

Art Unit: 2128

and effects across the simulated electrical power network of the alternative topologies of distributionlevel portions of the network (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph).

As per claim 5, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating additional models of theoretical distribution-level loads into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and observing impacts and effects of load growth across the simulated electrical power network due to the addition of theoretical distribution-level loads (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph).

As per claim 6, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical transmission-level real and reactive energy sources connected to one or more of the plurality of transmission-level buses into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 1st and 5th paragraph); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electric power network of the theoretical transmission-level real and reactive energy sources connected on one or more of the plurality of transmission-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph).

As per claim 7, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical alternative topologies of the transmission-level portions of the electrical power network into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electrical power network of the alternative topologies of transmission-level portions of the network (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph).

As per claim 8, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating additional models of theoretical transmission-level loads into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and observing impacts and effects of load growth across the simulated electrical power network due to the addition of theoretical transmission-level loads (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph and page 14, 2nd paragraph).

Art Unit: 2128

As per claim 9, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, wherein the integrating models further comprises: representing actual distribution-level buses and elements having an actual voltage and an actual topology with corresponding models of buses and elements characterized, at least in part, by representations of the actual voltages and the actual topologies of the distribution-level buses and elements (page 13, Section 3, 2nd paragraph).

As per claim 10, Optimal is directed to a method for analyzing performance of a modeled electric power network having a plurality of transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements and a plurality of distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the method comprising: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); assessing by load flow analysis a condition and a performance of the modeled electric power network (page 15, Section 4.1.1); adding incremental real and reactive energy sources in locations of the modeled electric power network (page 13, Section 3.5th paragraph); assessing by load-flow analysis the condition and performance of the simulated electric power network with the added incremental real and reactive energy sources (page 15. Section 4.1.1): determining whether the performance of the modeled electric power network is improved

Art Unit: 2128

as a result of the added real and reactive energy sources (page 16, Section 4.1.1); determining a set of added real and reactive energy sources that yields a greatest improvement in network performance (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); characterizing the set of added real and reactive energy sources as specific distributed energy resources (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph) and outputting data describing the set of added real and reactive energy resources (page 21, Section 8).

As per claim 11, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, further comprising, quantifying an improvement in performance of the modeled electric power network due to the set of added real and reactive energy sources (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph).

As per claim 12, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, wherein adding incremental real and reactive energy sources further comprises: representing the energy sources with models of the energy sources characterized, at least in part, by values of corresponding electric power network actual bus location and actual voltage level (page 13, Section 3, 2nd paragraph); adding to the single mathematical model the models of the energy sources at one of the distribution-level buses and transmission-level buses, wherein the models of real energy sources are added subject to actual limits appropriate for dispatchable demand reductions available on the electric power network, and the real energy sources with reactive energy sources are added subject to actual limits appropriate for generation at load sites within the electric power network (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph).

As per claim 13, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, wherein determining whether the performance of the modeled electric network is improved as a result of the addition of energy sources comprises: considering selected characteristics of a reduction of real power losses and reactive power losses, improvement in voltage profile, improvement in voltage stability, improvement of load-serving capability, and avoidance of additions of electric elements connected to the network that would otherwise be required (nave 19-20, Section 6.1.2 and Section 6.2).

Art Unit: 2128

As per claim 14, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, wherein characterizing the additions of real and reactive energy sources comprises: creating a plurality of mathematical models each having both distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements and transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements under a plurality of network operating conditions (page 15, Section 4.1.1); determining the additions of models of real and reactive energy sources that achieve the greatest improvement in network performance of the modeled network under each set of operating conditions (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); characterizing each incremental addition of real or reactive energy sources as a discrete generation project, dispatchable demand response project, or capacitor bank project (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); and comparing results achieved under each set of operating conditions to derive model profiles for operation of each discrete added energy source model under each different set of operating conditions (page 19-20, Section 6.1.2 and Section 6.2).

As per claim 19, Optimal is directed to a computer readable medium comprising a computer program that when executed in a computer processor implements the steps of: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission-level buses including a plurality of transmission lines an a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution-level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); simulating an operation of the electric power network with

Art Unit: 2128

the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5th paragraph); assessing under load flow analysis at least one of a condition and performance of the simulated electric power network (page 13, Section 3, 1st paragraph) and outputting data describing at least one of the condition and the performance of the simulated electric power network (page 21, Section 8).

As per claim 20, Optimal is directed to the computer readable medium of claim 19, further comprising a computer program that when executed in a computer processor further implements the steps of: integrating models of theoretical distribution-level sources of real and reactive energy sources connected to one or more of the plurality of distribution-level buses into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 1st paragraph); and calculating impacts and effects across the simulated electric power network of the theoretical distribution-level real and reactive energy sources connected on one or more the plurality of distribution-level buses (page 13, Section 3, 2nd paragraph).

(10) Response to Argument

The Appellants argue various reasons why they believe the Optimal reference fails to anticipate the claimed subject matter. Each argument is addressed below.

(A) Optimal discloses using Aempfast software which models a power network as a signal mathematical model including integrated transmission and distribution models and calculated interdependencies.

On pages 7-10 of the Appeal Brief, Appellants argue that *Optimal* does not disclose generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of transmission-level buses with the model of distribution-level buses. The Specification of the instant Application provides no disclosure on what constitutes a "single mathematical model". In the summary of the claimed invention on pages 2-6 of the Appeal Brief, the Appellants refer to several paragraphs in the

Art Unit: 2128

Specification but there is no specific definition for a single mathematical model in the Specification. The only recited definition for a single mathematical model is what is in the claim language – a model of transmission and distribution level buses. The *Optimal* reference shows the integration of an entire power network with both transmission and distribution level buses within a single model on page 13.

Aempfast <u>highly and almultaneously links optimization of the subject system</u> (i.e., optimized voltage stability and power flow) with full and comprehensive measurement of system resources, i.e., in optimizing the use of system resources, Aempfast analyzes the available real power (P) and reactive power (Q) resources at each and every bus of the eyetem.

It should be noted that while this Evaluation Task study monkers system optimization for active and broadle load and resources, Amphas allo has been designed to analyze and optimize simultaneously for multiple competing system goals, including such other possible goals as generator disnational systems and the denomy, minimum air emissions, minimum water release or consumption, etc. Aemphas can also be applied to optimize an entire grown network of any size (small of large) while making such determinations as of 1) proper order of references to delay entered units based on fuel economy, air emissions, not contribution to system stability, power quality, and power flow, while making sustained and contribution of the systems of the power flow, and opened flow, contribution to system stability and power flow, or (or 3) possible improvements to locations at which devices must be locarded. In addition, Aemphas can be used to determine accurate force, tonal and marginal based princing levels and to evaluate power slow, or contract terms based on the net system effect (i.e., effect on system-wide voltage stability and power flow, or) in specific power source and support in question.

During analysis, Aempfast models all elements of the network and their contributions including both transmission and distribution lines. Therefore, the broadest reasonable interpretation of a single mathematical model of the claim is read on by the prior art.

Appellants further argue on page 8 of the Appeal Brief that *Optimal* only uses the conventional method wherein multiple mathematical models are used - a mathematical model for the transmission level busses and a mathematical model for the distribution level busses. However, assuming that *Optimal* only used conventional load flow methods, by using both models together, and consequently integrating the models and calculating interdependencies, the multiple mathematical models become a single unified mathematical model. While the single mathematical model of an entire power network may contain multiple smaller models of

Application/Control Number: 10/666,209 Page 11

Art Unit: 2128

transmission busses and distribution busses, the single mathematical model remains. Similarly, a software program may consist of multiple smaller programs but remains in it of itself a single software program. Furthermore, at the atomic level of the power network system, describing a single property of a single bus itself requires a mathematical model. Page 10 of Optimal reads in part:

- 5. Optimal believes (but has not confirmed) that all measures identified for this study could have been implemented in the course of a few hours. Aempfast is capable of more fundamental system optimization invoking measures that require equipment movement or adjustment or capital improvements, but these capabilises have not been used in this study.
- 6. In normal operation of a network, the voltage at one bus is fixed. The voltage at all other buses is determined by the physical parameters of the equipment used to conduct electric current throughout the network. Conversely, if the voltage at a second bus is fixed, the flow between the fixed buses with be increased or decreased and current will flow along alternate paths. A number of fixed voltage buses may be used to interfere with the normal flow of current, or stated otherwise, to control the flow of current in the network. The locations of control buses are strategically selected. Determining the voltage of each of the control buses is a mathematically complex problem, which must include considerations such as power quality and statutory requirements.

However, that does not prevent the entire distribution level of busses or the entire power network of distribution and transmission level busses to be viewed as a single mathematical model of themselves.

Furthermore, while Optimal does perform an analysis using conventional load flow methods, it also performs analysis and models a power network using a different method of Aempfast software. Optimal clearly distinguishes the Aempfast method from the conventional load flow methods on page 22.

8.1 Analysis Using Traditional Load Flow Tools

Using traditional load flow tools, Optimal Increased Bay Area system P and D loads on the un optimized utine 14, 2009 PGAE System, martisaring containing owner flows, until system violage collapse occurred. See Table 5, befow. At collapse, 6:36 MW of generation, capacity, martisaring evaluation of PGAE System. At collapse he system consumed 37.56 MW/a smoother power (O) consumed at the base case. This increase in system MW/a loss accelerated the system violage collapse. At system collapse, the HEVMARD D bus (0.0503), a high vollaps PGAE System backbone bus, had dropped to 216 MV, an extremely sharp drop (12 MV, or over 5%, down from 22 MV) for such a key bus. A pending vollapse collapse in indicates that 222 x 227 MV level. This indicates that 227 XV is the masonable vollage level at which to begin land shad. Optimal confirms more thing data that the unplanned buse of 18 bods of 18 mV seed 18 System collapse and not the result of a demand overload relative to overall available PGAE System generating capacity. Optimal confirms and collapse were the best that could be taken based on the Inaditional analytical tools their engineers that not available.

8.2 Analysis Using Aempfast

As summarized above, using irealitional analytical hols, Cal ISO and PGSE were unable to identify and effectively resolve system congession and related conditions that initiated novem flower needed to maintain voltage stability at critical points of the System, including the Bay Area, or June 14, 2000. Usang Aempfash, however, Optimal confirmed that not add set he PGAE System had sufficient generation and other system resources, if properly adjusted and controlled, to withstand voltage collapse in the Bay Area.

Optimal applied Aempfaar's Optimizer to identify (1) the sources of such congestion and related conditions on the June 14, 2009 PGAE Bay Area System, and (2) specific, easily-soccomplished measures for alleviating such conditions and assuring adequate power flaws throughout the System to maintain votatings etablist, in the primary solutions involved simple "re-controlling" (i.e. adjusting the controll of the PGAE System 'control busies' available to Cal ISO at the time. (Four hundred and review (412) of the Osal of 2506 buses on the PGAE System are identified and used as 'control busies' available, which the Bay Area System is monitored and managed) [Note: in additional control busies' available to Cal ISO at the time. (Four control busies' available to the PGAE System are identified and used as 'control busies' available which the Bay Area System is monitored and managed) [Note: in additional could have been still down to make PGAE System generator that was causing conception and could have been still down to make PGAE System generator that was causing conception and could have been still down to make PGAE System spectrum that was causing conception and could have been still down to make PGAE System spectrum that was causing conception and could have been still down to make PGAE System spectrum that was causing conception and could have been still down to make PGAE System spectrum that was causing conception and could have been still down to make PGAE System spectrum and the PGAE System spectrum

On pages 8-10 of the Appeal Brief, Appellants argue that the Aempfast software disclosed in *Optimal* only formats data files. While pages 16-18 of *Optimal* are focused on data formatting steps, these steps are not what anticipate generating a single mathematical model from distribution and transmission models. That is on page 20 of *Optimal* with the Aempfast Optimization and Analysis wherein optimization requires a single mathematical model *based on and generated from* the data file, including both distribution and transmission models.

Furthermore, Appellants' argument on page 8 of the Appeal Brief that no additional resources are added and therefore Aempfast does not integrate distribution and transmission models is unpersuasive. While the case study of the Bay Area network was optimized without

needing to add new resources, this was done due to a directed of the study (page 8, 1.1.1.2.c).

However, this does not mean that the software used by *Optimal*, Aempfast does not have this capability. In fact, it does. On page 17 of Optimal:

4.3.2 Study Phase 2 - Baseline Bay Area System Analysis

The purpose of Phase 2 is to improve Bay Area Gystern operation using the Aempfast analysis tools, but using only active and reactive resources available to Cal ISO and PG&E on June 1, 2000. Consistent with the study directives, Oplimal has included no resources that were not already immediately available to PG&E and Cal ISO at the time of the study event.

Comment: The addition of strategic resources as indicated by Aempfact is not included in the scope of this Evaluation Task study, but is to be fully included within Task X. Sae forenote 6 on page 13.

On pages 9-10 of the Appeal Brief, Appellants argue that use of a data file to analyze a power network cannot be construed as anticipating a single mathematical model. However, the definition of a model is "a schematic description of a system, theory, or phenomenon that accounts for its properties and may be used for further study of its characteristics" as per the American Heritage College Dictionary. A data file processed by an optimizer that calculates relationships between the data values effectively describes a system and accounts for the properties of the system. See page 20 of *Optimal*. Furthermore, *Optimal* uses the Aempfast Optimizer to further study characteristics of the power network system (pages 22-23, Discussion of Study Results, particularly Section 8.2 and 8.2.1). Given the broadest reasonable interpretation, *Optimal* fully anticipates the claimed invention of a single mathematical model of a power network integrating models of both distribution and transmission busses.

(B) BusinessWire and Teresko provide further evidence of the inherency of single mathematical model of a power network as claimed within the Aempfast software used in Optimal.

Art Unit: 2128

On pages 10-12 of the Appeal Brief, while Appellants have provided portions of *Teresko* and *BusinessWire* that do not evidence a single mathematical model of a power network by only discussing the benefits of Aempfast, they have conveniently ignore passages of said articles that do prove a single mathematical model.

Teresko reads in part:

Enrepreneur Roland Schoettle thinks so, and his Calgary, Alta. Canuda, Optimal Technologies International Inc. has developed optimization software called Aempfast to adcrease the power cruis. Using proprietary algorithms. Aempfast can analyze, optimize, and identify constraints in the flow of electricity through the power grid.

And further reads:

Sales and the sales are sale

Cironicle: "What I think Optimal has, is an algorithm that people have attempted to develop for 50 years.") Bartara Barkovich, anergy and utility regolatory consultant, Barkovich & Yan, Oakhand, Calif., points to optimize the system on the basis of frequent updates.—which current systems can't do Instead of running systems can't do. Instead of running

a day-long traditional power-flowoptimization model, <u>Aerophist has the</u> ability to look at all the contributions made by each element of the grid on a real-time basis."

East month Optimal's Benicia, Calif., organization entered into a contract with California to provide further proof of what its proprietary algorithm can do. The contract will test the software's analysis of power-grid data from the first unscheduled blackout that occurred on June 14, 2000, as a result of undervoltage at a substation Test results due soon, will determine if Acoptast would have s prevented the problem.

(The prior art *Optimal* is the documentation of the analysis performed for the California contract mentioned in *Teresko*.)

Whereas Business Wire reads in part:

Abstract (Summary)

Optimats new Aemplast (Mil (pronounced aim-fast) software, now being tested, has the unique ability to "see" the green and as a virule and in oreal facility. Aemplete can awitly find blockages in power law, itselfity and direct system adjustmate deliminaring the congression points, and rendue power - in seconds, as opposed to hours thereby avoiding blackboils and brownoist. This software is buildenietal for electric power contingency planning and crisis management," said foliand Schoettle, founder and DEC of Optimat Technologies.

In a nearth address to electric power strategists at the National Academy of Engineering, Schoettle reported on Aemyfaet's critical applications for both normal grid operations and emergency planning, and response functions. The Aemyfaet's critical applications for the normal grid operations and emergency planning and response functions. The Aemyfaet's software with allow power grid manages is optimize electricity flows—in read time—to deen up power flow congestion, make transmission and distribution more efficient, reduce grid dependence on the volatile electricity spot market, cut talled costs and at emissions, and searchests intendite destributed concentration from the power grid. This searce powerful capabilities give Aemyfaet spocial applications in planning for, and responding to, power grid emissions.

and further reads:

Application/Control Number: 10/666,209 Page 16

Art Unit: 2128

The Aerophast power system management software has demonstrated the unique ability to create a more secure, robust, and resilient sational power ond by:

-- Enhancing normal operating performance and stability of local

and regional grids

- Enabling sharply advanced emergency response and contingency

planning for local, regional, and resional power grids:

-- Complex, oprimized response strategies, not identifiable

by other technologies, involving diversification.

flexibility, recurrency, mutual support, and sharing among

the grid's power generation transmission, and

distribution resources

From the articles, it is quite clear that Aempfast software models a power network using a single mathematical model that integrates both transmission and distribution networks and further models the interdependencies of the elements within the power network.

Furthermore, the benefit conferred by Aempfast appear to be the same as the benefits proffered by Appellants claimed invention as noted on page 7 of the Appeal Brief.

These aspects of the claimed invention promote comprehensive assessment of the effects of an electric power network by integrating distribution elements, with transmission elements in a single mathematical model which also accounts for the interdependency between a plantility of transmission times, a plurality of transmission electrical elements, a plurality of distribution floes and a plurality of distribution electrical elements. These aspects of the claimed invention permit greater detail in electric power network analysis by direct inclusion of interdependencies between transmission-level effects from transmission times and transmission electrical elements and distribution-level offects. From distribution lines and distribution electrical elements using an integrated model of transmission-level buses and distribution-level buses, improving the accuracy of the evaluation. Conventionally, separate models are used to simulate distribution and transmission, preventing inclusion of relationships between transmission elements and distribution elements in power network analysis.

Therefore, *Teresko* and *BusinessWire* provide further evidence of the inherent single mathematical model of a power network as claimed.

(C) Appellants have failed to provide evidence to prove that Aempfast in Optimal does not disclose a single mathematical model of a power network as claimed.

On pages 12-14 of the Appeal Brief, what Appellants allege as blanket statements of the type of model Optimal uses are in fact detailed descriptions of the functionality of Aempfast in Optimal that any ordinary person skilled in the art would understand as an inherent structure of a single mathematical model of a power network. See Section (A) above for further details. It is particularly curious that Appellants appear to feign ignorance in light of these detailed disclosures, particularly given their experience in the field of modeling power networks. Market Wire discusses a strategic planning project in October 2002 using the Aempfast software.

thetim All holmologies hater authors flux. Loading provides of end-to-and power grid-opticization technologies and but two, today unasurand the efficient but help the charge reaction (ARR) surveyed planning graphs as Simon. Value that well entitle its nownee technology.

This present, the first of it had in the world, will discussed organize property proves good system testion technically attitude ARMET ACT This is also featured scheduler, founded and Tockmologies. The the first time since Thomas Indian Matthew Armet and the Section of the theory o

The project visionand of New Discove Inchanging NPTs and Expertics (Resetted like very States) Valley professional deals believe the Despect will demonstrate of standard arithm to the States Valley's Ordinal phone proteins in tracers of endoughts beginn from the States Valley Meantherway Enough (SVMC), a permissional trade endoughts (LaZarage, Committee, and States Valley Programs, Valley Ford States (State instanting or other relative these Table yet is the forward the project. The following burgers (Commission feetends of many fact our project belong the Public interver these yet accuracy (ELEA Project).

Detail from 1975 provident, beiged develop the project and undiscussed in The project for an ever ground in the cases that a taking effect the transmission and institutions point from general man discussed and the transmission and institutions from the transmission and institutions from the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the universe of the transmission of the transmission of the project undirection of the transmission of the universe of the transmission of the NVP immegrated grid. For each security, we will apply manifely not transmission of the transmission of the universe of the transmission of the transmission

Seers, Schamen, she president of technology for Conground Federations for an advantagement of the project with hours, wident, "As members of Files SYMPS" among Committee, we replaced to have this expression for introduce with project and project on the seed of the SYMPS" among Committee, we replaced to that the confront of the SYMPS among Committee, we replaced to the seed of the SYMPS among Committee that th

Specifically as Appellants Evans and Schumer had first hand knowledge of and experience with Aempfast software as discussed in Optimal, it is unclear why Appellants have not chosen to demonstrate the Examiner's position as incorrect by easily providing evidence that Aempfast only uses "conventional" methods of modeling a power network rather than the "groundbreaking" method of using a single mathematical model of a power network with transmission and distribution interdependencies.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer. Application/Control Number: 10/666,209 Page 19

Art Unit: 2128

(12) Conclusion

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

/Suzanne Lo/

Patent Examiner, GAU 2128

Conferees:

/Kamini S Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128

/Eddie C. Lee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, TC 2100